

APPENDIX B

Objection	Comments	Officer Response
<p>Yes</p>	<p>I am a resident of Eastway in Castle Donington and write to you in regard to the proposed changes for order of safety to children.</p> <p>As you can see from the emails I have forwarded (attached below) I have made attempts in the past to express my concerns not only for safety, but also from a personal perspective as I have been trapped on my own drive a number of times this academic year.</p> <p>As you can also see, I addressed my concerns to the local primary school who share my concerns, the secondary school and also the council. While I appreciate the attempts made to improve safety, I feel that the restrictions on Eastway are insufficient, therefore I would like to object, and I have a number of reasons for this, as follows:</p> <p>The road goes around on a bend. [REDACTED] [REDACTED] Currently, cars park on both sides (please see image in thread below) and it is mayhem. Taking away just one side will further promote parking on the bend which makes it very difficult for vehicles and pedestrians to see, and over residents driveways. Not only is this highly inconvenient, and will cause me to be late to work ([REDACTED] so this is not acceptable), it is also still very unsafe. This is because children will have to cross from my side of the road without restrictions to the other side. As there is a bend they will have to step out into the road to make it across the road to the school which is still very unsafe, given the nature of the parking (which in my experience is very poor and highly dangerous, I urge you and an enforcement team to come down and have a look for yourselves). Often, parents pull up in cars over residents driveways and then speed off once confronted. Again this is inconvenient and dangerous. Having restrictions on only one side will not improve this in my opinion.</p> <p>Instead, I propose contacting the college to discuss with them why they have revoked the use of their car park. This problem has been hugely exacerbated this academic year and I believe it is largely due to the college not allowing St Edwards staff or pupils</p>	<p><i>Please not where photographic evidence was provided as part of the response this has been considered as part of the following responses; however the photos have not been included in the report due to the quantity received.</i></p> <p><i>The proposed mandatory school keep clear markings have been proposed following a request from Castle Donington College. They have reported several incidences with cars obstructing the carriageway outside the entrances to the school and also inconsiderate parking on the existing advisory School Keep Clear Markings.</i></p> <p><i>The proposed markings will be in force Monday to Friday 8am to 4pm. Outside of these times residents are free to park on the markings.</i></p> <p><i>However, it remains an offence under the Road Traffic Act 1988 for</i></p>

to access their car park. As a result, St Edwards staff are parking along Eastway to go to work, meaning there are far fewer spaces for parents at drop off time. This also means that parents cannot drop off in the car park so they too are using Eastway and the surrounding roads. Since there is an entrance to St Edwards school through this car park, and both schools are local authority schools, I feel it unreasonable that the college do not allow St Edwards to utilise the car park. This would alleviate much of the problem, evidenced by it never causing much of a concern with parking and safety when access was allowed. I urge you to have this discussion with them. As you can see, I have attempted to contact them by email and not received a response, and I have also contacted them by phone but have not received any support so far - I have just been told "they will look into it" by a receptionist so I am unsure as to how seriously this has been taken.

My second proposal is that Eastway has the school keep clear markings on both sides of the road up until at least number 15 so that it is past the bend. This means that children can leave school safely and have the opportunity to cross the road with maximum visibility. From here, if parents do park down the road, instead of using the car park which I believe should be the priority as it's a more controlled environment with much slower speeds, at least children can safely cross the road with full visibility to return safely to their parents cars.

My third proposal is that if you are unwilling to add restrictions both sides (though I'm unsure why), you add the restrictions to the opposite side of the road to the proposal. Visibility will be better due to the way the road bends. You could then allow parents to park on the same side as the school as a 5 minute drop off only (which should be sufficient given that parents are likely unable to go into school with the current coronavirus restrictions). This would mean children are getting out of their vehicle on the correct side of the road and not having to cross at a very busy time, and traffic should move more freely if there is a 5 minute limit.

Once these things are in place, I would also like to know how you intend on policing it. Despite my emails expressing concerns, although I have been told my requests have been logged / someone's looking into it etc, I have yet to receive any actual feedback. I trust that you take this matter as seriously as I do, as lives are at risk - not only pedestrians crossing the road but also residents, who at times would not be accessible

*any person in charge of a vehicle to cause or permit that vehicle to stand on a road/footway in such a manner that is considered to be dangerous, or that which causes an obstruction to the safe and effective use of the highway.*

*Any such instances of this should be reported to the Police on their non-emergency number.*

*H bar markings are most appropriate for the protection of dropped kerb driveway accesses. We will include H Bar markings for the driveways in the vicinity of the school as part of this scheme.*

*During pre-scheme site visits no parking on the bend directly opposite the school access was observed and such parking practice is not anticipated should the proposed measures be introduced. We would of course monitor the scheme post implementation and look to address any issues should they arise due to the restrictions being applied only on the school side of the road.*



	<p>both sides of the road like you are proposing on mount pleasant or you just put them on the opposite side where the bend is, this approach would be safer.</p> <p>As I was reading your letter today, someone parked half way across my drive:</p> <p>This is something I am faced with daily and your proposal only encourages this behaviour.</p> <p>To summarise; I object to the proposal due to the likely increase in cars firstly parking on the bend and causing an increased risk to school children and secondly due to the likely increase in cars parking on the side I reside at, causing me issues in getting on/off my driveway.</p> <p>In addition to my original comments above I have further concerns I wish to share with you:</p> <p>1) I wish to know why this was abandoned last year after residents took the time to write to you during the consultation period, for you to now be repeating the process 1 year later?</p> <p>2) [REDACTED] have been struggling with being trapped on our own driveway for years now, which you well know as we have been in contact with the council regarding this. Your proposal is only going to intensify this.</p> <p>3) Will the lines on dovecote apply to cars parked with all 4 wheels on the pavement? As one dovecote resident parks multiple vehicles on that pavement and seems to get away with it which would negate the whole point of your proposal. He also parks multiple vehicles [REDACTED] which are often dangerously/stupidly parked.</p> <p>4) This time in summary; I object to the proposal due to the likely increase in cars firstly parking on the bend and causing an increased risk to school children as they will then have to cross the road with poor visibility and secondly due to the likely increase in cars parking on the side I reside at, causing me issues in getting on/off my driveway.</p>	<p><i>Donington holistically, they have been advised of what can be looked at and it is their intention that this will start once the relief road is completed and available for traffic.</i></p> <p><i>With specific regard to residents parking, if we look at Mount Pleasant in isolation it would not meet the criteria for a residents parking scheme, hence the need to look at a holistic comprehensive approach to the parking habits and traffic movements in the area.</i></p> <p><i>The college is an Academy and not under LCC control, however, we have advised the academy of the suggestions raised by residents for their consideration. LCC are unable to give permission for residents to park within Castle Donington High School car park or to dictate how the car park is used.</i></p> <p><i>We would advise residents contact the school direct on any matter relating to the use of their car park.</i></p> <p><i>The proposed restrictions will be enforced by the County Councils</i></p>
--	---	--

	<p>Thirdly the bloke on dovecote has zero parking space and 4-5 vehicles which will all end up in a row outside my house. Finally I propose you either put the keep clear lines on both sides of the road like you are proposing on mount pleasant or you just put them on the opposite side where the bend is, this approach would be safer.</p> <p>Alternatively you could speak to the schools to find out why they do not allow use of their carpark as this would be the safest option.</p>	<p><i>Camera enforcement vehicle and by parking enforcement officers when in the area.</i></p> <p><i>We will work with the schools to provide support on the development of a school travel plan and advise on initiatives that the school can take up to encourage sustainable travel to school and therefore reduce school run traffic.</i></p>
Yes	<p>Following the recent documents sent regarding the safety of the school children, I would like to voice my objection.</p> <p>With all of the proposed changes in the area, this will make the parking for residents even more difficult. I appreciate the concerns we have with vehicles during school starting and finishing times and don't total object to the mandatory 'School Keep Clear' signs, surely more can be done to keep parking around these areas for residents only (with exception on school start and finish times).</p> <p>What I'm really trying to say, regularly we get vehicles parking on Delvin Lane and Mount Pleasant, which people have left there for days even weeks as they've dumped their vehicles there to save on Airport parking.</p> <p>Now with the new proposal keep clear signs, parking for residents on Mount Pleasant will be even more limited during the day.</p> <p>With more and more people working from home and with no parking restrictions for holiday makers parking (which I know that's very limited at the minute). It's going to become nearly impossible to park my own car near my property.</p> <p>Can't the council review the situation to make the area 'residents permit only' parking during the evening??? This would at least stop holiday makers leaving their vehicles in the area??</p> <p>I fully understand that safety is your first priority for school children and total understand why you're trying to improve the situation but I feel by making it residents only parking in the evening as well, this would help the situation out.</p>	

Yes	<p>I'd like to object to the extension of zig zag lines around Castle Donington college ND/HTWMT/4746.</p> <p>With no off street parking and a problem with holiday parking and parents picking their kids up from school (made worse by the colleges recent decision to stop parents entering the car park) I find the idea of adding more parking restrictions around this area both stupid and nonsensical you'll just be making a problem even worse.</p>	
Yes	<p><i>To whom it may concern. Last year Leicester County Council proposed to place markings outside the High school in Castle Donington and we the residents objected with the below reasons. We have received your new proposal and still object citing the same reasons, nothing has changed, we the residents are not the cause of the problem but still we are the ones that will be affected by your new proposal. I have contacted Trevor Pendleton who is in agreement with us that the generic solution to your proposal does not fit the bespoke problem we have outside our residency. We are open to discussion, modification suggestions and creating a dialogue with yourselves to come to an agreeable resolution.</i></p> <p><i>This email should be considered the initial objection.</i></p> <p>We object to the plans for Leicestershire County Council proposed mandatory school keep clear warnings for the house fronts / street parking outside of properties 11, 13, 15, 17 Mount Pleasant, Castle Donington.</p> <p>We are fully aware that we can claim no legal right to street park our vehicles outside the front of our properties, however the 'resident' parking outside of Mount Pleasant properties between numbers 11 to 17 has never caused a known issue to the school children. In fact we are the ones that have suffered by having our cars damaged by the children. The reason there are issues is because at school time parents drop off their children and park their cars on the street front. This is further compounded by parents parking across the road and actually on the corner of Mount Pleasant / Hasting street hence blocking off the street. The photo below is a very small representation of what happens on a daily basis, the vehicles with red circles in the picture will pull up (on the pavement where the children are expected to walk on), leave their engines running, await their children and just pull out into the street once they have the children, only to be replaced by another vehicle. In fact, the driveway in the photo was recently blocked</p>	

by traffic, who refused to move to allow the resident into their own driveway. This was witnessed by a number of residents.

We also suffer from 'Airport' parking, people who are flying from East Midlands happily park their vehicles for up to 2 weeks outside our properties on Mount Pleasant, in fact this is just a common problem in Castle Donington area throughout which has been accentuated by the twin red lines surrounding the airport. During the day we also have people who park outside our house fronts including we believe one of your own council inspectors who chose to park exactly in the proposed 'zig zag' area at school leaving time recently.

***This shows how limited the parking is in the area for residents outside properties 11 to 17 on Mount Pleasant and the area as a whole.***

The entire proposal is for the safety of the children coming in and out of Castle Donington High School, which we agree with but by blocking the parking spaces in front of properties 11 to 17 Mount Pleasant also puts residents own children at risk since we will have to traverse our families to our vehicles which will have to be parked in other streets. (In the proposed area for zig zags, there are children ranging from 4 months old to 11 years old)

***Are our children any less important from danger than any of the high school children?***

There is also a [REDACTED] who will not be able navigate to her transport correctly if your current proposal is upheld.

Some residents work shifts so the proposed times for parking on the zig zag lines are irrelevant. Are we expected to park our vehicles in one street, move them at 8 am then at 4pm move them back to our house fronts, then move them back out the zone the next morning for piece of mind against theft, damage to our vehicles. Insurance - Some residents will have to have their insurance premiums checked as some have 'in front of house' insurance. Is the council going to pay the difference if it is required?

From what we understand, the high school car park is no longer considered a drop of

	<p>point for students and with the High school recently changing their leaving times (which when combined with St Edwards / SureStart leaving times) makes the problem worse. The High school car park also has 2 entrances / exits with only Mount Pleasant one being used?</p> <p><b><i>Could a proposal be addressed for entry on Mount Pleasant gate, drop off in the car park in a queue, with exiting to happen on Eastway for both schools?</i></b></p> <p>Should the school / council not be encouraging / teaching / addressing the issue of children walking to school? We are aware that local people drop their children off but if your child is capable, feels safe, has the street smarts with appropriate attire for inclement weather, any child that falls under this remit within a 10 / 15 minute radius should be encouraged to walk.</p> <p>We would like the Council to consider 'Resident parking' permits or markings for in front of 11 to 17 Mount Pleasant and double yellow lines opposite the properties of 11 to 17 Mount Pleasant extending around the corner into Hasting Street. Having resident parking in front of 11 to 17 Mount Pleasant will not impact or take up any further area than what is proposed. We appreciate your concern is safety which we totally agree with but we actually live in the proposal area and it is not the residents that are causing the problems but we are the ones going to have our quality of life impacted if you proceed with your proposal.</p>	
Yes	<p>As a resident of Mount Pleasant I am writing to you to formally raise my objections to the proposed scheme, reference ND/HTWMT/4746.</p> <p>I fully understand and support appropriate measures to safeguard people who are travelling to and from the Castle Donington Community College. To this end, there are already extensive Keep Clear markings on both Mount Pleasant and Hastings Street. To the best of my knowledge, they have served their purpose since being installed. To better help me understand the new challenge that the proposal is aiming to address I formally request that you provide me with substantiated evidence of how, when and why the current markings are not achieving their desired aims.</p> <p>I also assume that the entrance to the College has been quantitatively risk assessed for</p>	



severity and likelihood of an accident occurring and that the outcome of this assessment is part of the case made in developing this proposal. I formally request that you provide me with a copy of that risk assessment so that I may better understand the Council's position.

The major consequence to be addressed by your proposal is that on-road parking on the north side of Mount Pleasant will be restricted. The north side of Mount Pleasant has many houses that do not have any off-road parking facilities, especially outside houses where the proposal intends to extend the Keep Clear markings. At all times of the day and night on every day of the week there is already insufficient on-street parking to satisfy the needs of:-

- Residents. Please remember that in these times of COVID-19 most people are at home all of the time and so there is no reduction in parking demand during normal working hours.
- School employees. There is clearly insufficient parking for all school employees and Mount Pleasant is used by employees and school visitors during working hours, if a space is fortuitously available
- Airport parking. Many people have worked out that it is considerably cheaper to park on Mount Pleasant and take the bus up to the airport from the bus station at the bottom of Delvin Lane than pay the airport parking costs. The longer the time away the more the cost benefits mount up and so residents suffer from cars parked for protracted periods blocking spaces that might otherwise be available to them.

To demonstrate my points I include 2 photographs taken on Friday 30<sup>th</sup> October; I believe that this is typical rather than unusual.

I am fortunate enough to have off-road parking. It is frequently blocked by inconsiderate parkers desperately finding somewhere to park on an already congested street. The gap on the images between the Mini and the Renault is not wide enough for another car without blocking my garage entrance but people will often park there because there is nowhere else. As a consequence, I have been unable to get my vehicle out and experienced difficulties to the extent that now I rarely use it.

	<p>In summary, I request that you withdraw the proposal as I believe that the current protections are adequate and that imposing further parking restrictions on Mount Pleasant will make matters considerably worse for residents in the area and may lead to unforeseen consequences requiring further council intervention.</p>	
<p>Yes</p>	<p>Thank you for giving us the opportunity to comment on the above order. We welcome any improvements to the surrounds of St Edward's C of E Primary School and Castle Donington College that will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• increase child safety</li> <li>• reduce traffic congestion and illegal/dangerous parking</li> <li>• disruption to local residents.</li> </ul> <p>Having compared the current parking restriction markings with the proposed ones, in our opinion the changes surrounding the Mount Pleasant/Hastings Street entrance of Castle Donington College are minimal and will have little positive impact on the points made above and, it is more likely that the situation will be worse.</p> <p>To illustrate our reasoning on this, we've attached a couple of photographs.</p> <p>These clearly show:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• irresponsible parents parking on double yellow lines causing other road users/parents to turn blind out of Dovecote - both in close proximity to children crossing the road</li> <li>• high traffic flow in both directions</li> <li>• parents parking behind residents' cars or across parking spaces preventing access in and out - some parents do move but others lock up their cars and disappear into the School or College.</li> </ul> <p>Without visible enforcement action, we can't see how the proposed changes will improve child safety and reduce illegal/dangerous parking. Furthermore, parking behind residents' cars or across parking spaces will increase for those properties not in the restricted areas.</p>	
<p>Yes</p>	<p>As the owner of [REDACTED] I fully support my [REDACTED] [REDACTED] with all the information they have provided in their e-mail below and my</p>	

	<p>previous objection submitted to the original plans. I lived in the property for 17 years and therefore also have first hand experience of the issues caused.</p> <p>I fully support the installation of zigzags opposite the property on the corner however I strongly object to the installation of them outside properties 11 - 17 Mount Pleasant as these are the only properties on the road without off road parking and therefore penalize the residents further and cause safety issues for my tenants and their children. By the residents of these properties parking on this section this in fact reduces the speed of the traffic therefore making it safer for all.</p> <p>Therefore please accept this e-mail as my strong objection to the part of the scheme of installing zigzags outside properties 11 - 17 Mount Pleasant but support the remaining recommendations.</p>	
No	<p>I am a resident of Dovecote in Castle Donington and I am in receipt of your consultation letter dated 23/10/20.</p> <p>I wish to confirm that I wholly support the proposal however I wish to emphasise that the parking offenders are <u>not</u> residents of the area but the parents/guardians of the children being collected from or taken to the schools, whom seem to have no regard for safety of the children that attend.</p> <p>Can I request that the residents of the area have assurances from LCC that the restrictions imposed are regularly policed and action taken against car owners that fail to comply? Perhaps part-time cameras could be installed or residents only parking allocated?</p>	
No	<p>I'm not convinced the current proposals will work because there is no one to enforce the rules.</p> <p>There are clear signs already and people just park on them. Only yesterday I witnessed a [REDACTED] parking on the "keep clear" parking lines waiting to collect his children.</p>	

	With the new proposal, parents will still drop their children on the "keep clear" signs, but as we often when they park over our driveways, they will pull in quickly, rush the children out the car and pull off as fast as they can to prove they are not parking if questioned by local residents - I think this may cause more danger.	
No	The Parish council has no objections to these proposals.	
No	Thank you. Please can it be noted that St Edward's CE Primary is firmly in favour of the proposed changes to support the safekeeping of children as they walk to and from school.	